

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/883,043	06/15/2001	Todd S. Liebman	D 6411	1287
759	90 12/11/2003		EXAMINER	
Benjamin Aaro		JAKETIC, BRYAN J		
ADLER & ASS 8011 Candle La		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Houston, TX 7	77071		3627	
			DATE MAILED: 12/11/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>			ン		
	*	Appli	cation No.	licant(s)			
		09/88	33,043		LIEBMAN, TODD S.		
	Office Action Summary	Exam	iner	Art Unit			
			Jaketic	3627			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears or	the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	aaress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this corresperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (a) period for reply is specified above, the maximum is tree to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In r imunication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply a by will, by statute, cause the	no event, however, may e statutory minimum of the and will expire SIX (6) Mo e application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered time ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ily. communication.		
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	led on <u>20 August 2</u>	<u>001</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action i	s non-final.		•		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5) <u>□</u> 6)⊠							
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
* (13)	Acknowledgment is made of a clair All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation from the Interna	y documents have y documents have sof the priority document on all Bureau (PCT on for a list of the confort domestic prioritied in the first sente anguage provisional for domestic priorities.	been received. been received in uments have bee Rule 17.2(a)). certified copies no ty under 35 U.S.Cence of the specified al application has ty under 35 U.S.C	Application No en received in this National ot received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional fication or in an Application been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	al application) n Data Sheet. e a specific		
Attachmen	t(s)		_				
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No f Informal Patent Application (PT			

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 11 of the claim, "a mean selected" should presumably be --a means selected--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lucero in view of Mueller et al. Lucero discloses a method of self-service ordering at a restaurant comprising the steps of: providing a kiosk (28) at a drive through location in a restaurant, comprising a push button panel (36) and means for receiving a credit card (58); operating the panel by a patron to order a food item (see col. 7, lines 62-68); totaling the charges (see col. 8, lines 1-9); inserting the credit card into the receiving means (see col. 7, lines 54-57); verifying the credit card account (see col. 7, lines 54-68); debiting the credit card account (see col. 8, lines 9-13); assembling the item at a second location (see col. 8, lines 5-9) and delivering the item to the patron at a location remote from the kiosk (20). Lucero also teaches the step of printing out a receipt (66).

Art Unit: 3627

Lucero does not disclose a touch screen. Mueller et al disclose a restaurant kiosk (14) with a touch screen (24) for taking orders. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the touch screen of Mueller et al with the invention of Lucero, because touch screens are more easily updated.

Lucero does not teach the step of displaying advertisements. Mueller et al disclose the step of displaying advertisements on the touch screen (see col. 12, lines 3-13). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the advertisements of Mueller et al with the invention of Lucero to promote products.

Lucero does not teach that the receipt contains a designated location. However, it is common in the art to print a location on receipts, and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the step of printing a location on the receipt of Lucero to indicate where the order may be picked up, thereby increasing throughput.

Lucero does not teach the step of printing a coupon on the receipt. However, it is common in the art to print coupons on receipts and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the step of printing a coupon on the receipt of Lucero to promote products and provide incentive for customers to return.

Lucero does not teach the step of suggesting additional items for sale. Mueller et al disclose the step of suggesting additional items that are appropriate to the items

Art Unit: 3627

ordered, and delivering the suggestion via a video display (see col. 15, line 55 through col. 17, line 11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the teachings of Mueller et al with the invention of Lucero to suggest additional items to increase sales.

Lucero does not teach the step verbalizing the name of items to the kiosk.

However, voice recognition software is common in the art and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the step of verbalizing the name of items to the kiosk of Lucero for customer convenience.

Lucero does not teach the step of receiving an electronic signature. However, electronic signature pads are common in the art and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the step of receiving an electronic signature with the invention of Lucero to facilitate the transaction.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bryan Jaketic whose telephone number is (703) 308-0134. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on (703)308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 3627

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

bj

2/5/03